

Assertion criteria for genetic sequence variant classification

Hemoglobin and Genome Lab (HGL-Hemocentro)

Last update: July 2017 (Kleber Y. Fertrin, Marina D. Borges)

The Hemoglobin and Genome Lab – Hemocentro Campinas classifies sequencing variants following the guidelines of the ACMG Laboratory Practice Committee Working Group (Richards et al., 2015. Genet Med. 2015 May;17(5):405-23). These guidelines represent a basic framework for interpretation of sequence variants. Each variant is individually assessed in the context of the variant, gene, associated disease and patient phenotype.

Sequence variants are classified in one of five categories: pathogenic, likely pathogenic, benign, likely benign, and uncertain significance.

The following applies to variants in genes associated with specific phenotypes.

- 1- Use the following framework for the definition of criteria according to type of evidence:

	Benign		Pathogenic			
	Strong	Supporting	Supporting	Moderate	Strong	Very strong
Population data	MAF is too high for disorder BA1/BS1 OR observation in controls inconsistent with disease penetrance BS2			Absent in population databases PM2	Prevalence in affecteds statistically increased over controls PS4	
Computational and predictive data		Multiple lines of computational evidence suggest no impact on gene /gene product BP4 Missense in gene where only truncating cause disease BP1 Silent variant with non predicted splice impact BP7 In-frame indels in repeat w/out known function BP3	Multiple lines of computational evidence support a deleterious effect on the gene /gene product PP3	Novel missense change at an amino acid residue where a different pathogenic missense change has been seen before PM5 Protein length changing variant PM4	Same amino acid change as an established pathogenic variant PS1	Predicted null variant in a gene where LOF is a known mechanism of disease PVS1
Functional data	Well-established functional studies show no deleterious effect BS3		Missense in gene with low rate of benign missense variants and path. missenses common PP2	Mutational hot spot or well-studied functional domain without benign variation PM1	Well-established functional studies show a deleterious effect PS3	
Segregation data	Nonsegregation with disease BS4		Cosegregation with disease in multiple affected family members PP1	Increased segregation data →		
De novo data				De novo (without paternity & maternity confirmed) PM6	De novo (paternity and maternity confirmed) PS2	
Allelic data		Observed in <i>trans</i> with a dominant variant BP2 Observed in <i>cis</i> with a pathogenic variant BP2		For recessive disorders, detected in <i>trans</i> with a pathogenic variant PM3		
Other database		Reputable source w/out shared data = benign BP6	Reputable source = pathogenic PP5			
Other data		Found in case with an alternate cause BP5	Patient's phenotype or FH highly specific for gene PP4			

2- Classify sequence variants according to the rules below:

Pathogenic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) 1 Very strong (PVS1) <i>AND</i> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) ≥ 1 Strong (PS1–PS4) <i>OR</i> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) ≥ 2 Moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>OR</i> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) 1 Moderate (PM1–PM6) and 1 supporting (PP1–PP5) <i>OR</i> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(d) ≥ 2 Supporting (PP1–PP5) (ii) ≥ 2 Strong (PS1–PS4) <i>OR</i> (iii) 1 Strong (PS1–PS4) <i>AND</i> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(a) ≥ 3 Moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>OR</i> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(b) 2 Moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>AND</i> ≥ 2 Supporting (PP1–PP5) <i>OR</i> <li style="padding-left: 20px;">(c) 1 Moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>AND</i> ≥ 4 supporting (PP1–PP5)
Likely pathogenic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) 1 Very strong (PVS1) <i>AND</i> 1 moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>OR</i> (ii) 1 Strong (PS1–PS4) <i>AND</i> 1–2 moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>OR</i> (iii) 1 Strong (PS1–PS4) <i>AND</i> ≥ 2 supporting (PP1–PP5) <i>OR</i> (iv) ≥ 3 Moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>OR</i> (v) 2 Moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>AND</i> ≥ 2 supporting (PP1–PP5) <i>OR</i> (vi) 1 Moderate (PM1–PM6) <i>AND</i> ≥ 4 supporting (PP1–PP5)
Benign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) 1 Stand-alone (BA1) <i>OR</i> (ii) ≥ 2 Strong (BS1–BS4)
Likely benign	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) 1 Strong (BS1–BS4) and 1 supporting (BP1–BP7) <i>OR</i> (ii) ≥ 2 Supporting (BP1–BP7)
Uncertain significance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Other criteria shown above are not met <i>OR</i> (ii) the criteria for benign and pathogenic are contradictory